

W.M.
AF

W.M. Norr 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): W.M. Norr
Case: 1
Serial No.: 09/364,432
Filing Date: July 30, 1999
Group: 2135
Examiner: Thomas A. Gyorfi

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: V. Benicewicz Date: June 9, 2005

Title: Information Delivery in a Multi-Stream Digital Broadcasting System

TRANSMITTAL OF SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith are the following documents relating to the above-identified patent application:

- (1) Response to Office Action; and
- (2) Supplemental Appeal Brief.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0762** as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 9, 2005

Joseph B. Ryan
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 37,922
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-7517



W.M. Norr 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): W.M. Norr
Case: 1
Serial No.: 09/364,432
Filing Date: July 30, 1999
Group: 2135
Examiner: Thomas A. Gyorfi

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: *V. Benvenuti*

Date: June 9, 2005

Title: Information Delivery in a Multi-Stream Digital Broadcasting System

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2005 in the above-referenced application, Applicant hereby requests reinstatement of the appeal pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.193(b)(2). A Supplemental Appeal Brief is submitted concurrently herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 9, 2005

Joseph B. Ryan
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 37,922
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-7517



W.M. Norr 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): W.M. Norr
Case: 1
Serial No.: 09/364,432
Filing Date: July 30, 1999
Group: 2135
Examiner: Thomas A. Gyorfi

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: V. Benichou Date: June 9, 2005

Title: Information Delivery in a Multi-Stream Digital Broadcasting System

SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Supplemental Appeal Brief is submitted in response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2005 in the above-referenced application, in which the Examiner reopened prosecution in response to the Appeal Brief filed August 10, 2004.

Applicant has submitted concurrently herewith a response to the Office Action, requesting reinstatement of the appeal.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The present application is assigned to Lucent Technologies Inc., as evidenced by an assignment recorded July 30, 1999 in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at Reel 010150, Frame 0122. The assignee Lucent Technologies Inc. is the real party in interest.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no known related appeals or interferences.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

The present application was filed on July 30, 1999 with claims 1-38. Claims 1-38 remain pending in the application. Claims 1, 15, 29, 31, 33-35 and 37 are the independent claims.

Each of claims 1-38 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 1-38 are appealed.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

There have been no amendments filed subsequent to the appealed rejection.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The present invention is directed to arrangements for delivering information using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system. The multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system.

In an illustrative embodiment shown in FIG. 1 of the drawings, the carrier signal is an analog host FM signal 100 of an FM in-band on-channel (IBOC) digital audio broadcasting (DAB) system. The digital sidebands of the host FM signal 100 comprise a lower digital sideband 102 and an upper digital sideband 104. Each of the digital sidebands 102, 104 includes a pair of subbands, denoted A and B. The multiple bitstreams in this embodiment comprise a total of four different bitstreams, namely, bitstreams 105-1 and 105-2 associated with respective subbands B and A of the lower sideband 102, and bitstreams 105-3 and 105-4 associated with respective subbands B and A of the upper sideband 104. See the specification at, for example, page 4, lines 2-28.

In one aspect of the invention, at least a portion of the information is delivered to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using the multiple bitstreams, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level. Upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

In another aspect of the invention, at least a portion of the information is delivered to a receiver, using at least first and second bitstreams of the multiple bitstreams, wherein the first bitstream is encrypted and the second bitstream is unencrypted, such that access to the information

is provided at a first quality level. Upon decryption of the first bitstream, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

With reference again to the illustrative embodiment, a transmitter 200 as shown in FIG. 3 encrypts certain of the multiple bitstreams, while leaving others of the multiple bitstreams unencrypted. The encryption is applied to an output of a perceptual audio coder 215 by a multi-stream encryption device 210. The specification at page 7, lines 7-13, describes the process as follows:

In a typical arrangement, at least about one-half of the multiple bitstreams generated by the PAC encoder 215 will be encrypted. For example, if four streams are generated, e.g., as illustrated in FIG. 1, at least two of the four will be encrypted; if two streams are generated, at least one will be encrypted.

Thus, a user can receive an audio signal at a certain quality level by using only the unencrypted streams to reconstruct the audio signal. Alternatively, the user can purchase a decryption key which permits use of both the encrypted streams and the unencrypted streams to reproduce the audio signal, resulting in a higher audio quality. An example of such an arrangement is described as follows at page 5, lines 16-22, of the specification:

In the FIG. 1 system, all four of the bitstreams 105-1, 105-2, 105-3 and 105-4 are generally required in order to reproduce CD-quality audio. In other words, all four of these streams must be received and decoded in order to reconstruct a transmitted audio signal with CD-quality fidelity, i.e., a bandwidth of about 19 kHz and a dynamic range of about 96 dB. Any two of the four bitstreams can be used to reproduce FM-quality audio, which has a significantly lower bandwidth and dynamic range than CD-quality audio, i.e., a bandwidth of about 13 kHz and a dynamic range of about 65 to 70 dB.

The present invention provides a number of significant advantages over conventional techniques. For example, the specification at page 6, lines 12-21, states as follows regarding one such advantage of the illustrative embodiment:

Advantageously, such an arrangement allows the user to purchase CD-quality audio information that has been previously supplied to them over broadcast airwaves, without requiring the user to download the CD-quality audio from the Internet.

The invention also facilitates the provision of a variety of received quality levels in an FM IBOC DAB system or other digital communication system in which multiple bitstreams are transmitted in corresponding subbands of digital sidebands of a host carrier signal.

GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

1. Claims 1-3, 10-12, 14-17, 24-26, 28-35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,026,164 (hereinafter “Sakamoto”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,529,526 (hereinafter “Schneidewend”).
2. Claims 4, 7, 8, 13, 18, 21, 22, 27, 36 and 38 are rejected under §103(a) over Sakamoto and Schneidewend in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,740,246 (hereinafter “Saito”).
3. Claims 5, 6, 9, 19, 20 and 23 are rejected under §103(a) over Sakamoto and Schneidewend in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,949,796 (hereinafter “Kumar”).
4. Claims 9 and 23 are rejected under §103(a) over Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Saito in view of Kumar.

ARGUMENT

1. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 1-3, 10-12, 14-17, 24-26, 28-35 and 37

A. Claims 1-3, 10-12, 15-17, 24-26, 29-32, 35 and 37

Applicant initially notes that a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness requires that the cited references when combined must “teach or suggest all the claim limitations,” and that there be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to combine the references or to modify the reference teachings. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Eighth Edition, August 2001, §706.02(j).

Applicant submits that the Examiner has failed to establish a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness in the present §103(a) rejection, in that the Sakamoto and Schneidewend references, even if assumed to be combinable, fail to teach or suggest all the limitations of each of independent

claims 1, 15, 29, 31, 33-35 and 37, and in that no cogent motivation has been identified for combining the references or for modifying the reference teachings to reach the claimed invention. Furthermore, even if it is assumed that a *prima facie* case has been established, there are teachings in one or more of the references that controvert the obviousness arguments of the Examiner.

The present invention as set forth in independent claims 1, 15, 29, 31, 33-35 and 37 is generally directed to arrangements in which information is delivered in a partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system. Each of these claims specifies that the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system.

For example, with reference to claim 1, at least a portion of the information is delivered to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using the multiple bitstreams transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level. Upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

This exemplary partially-encrypted format advantageously allows access to the information to be provided, for instance, at a first quality level without decrypting the second bitstream. If the second bitstream is decrypted, access to the information may be provided at a second quality level higher than the first. The invention facilitates the provision of such quality levels in an FM IBOC DAB system or other digital communication system in which multiple bitstreams are transmitted in corresponding subbands of digital sidebands of a host carrier signal.

The Examiner acknowledges that Sakamoto fails to meet the limitations of claim 1, but argues that the combined teachings of Sakamoto and Schneidewend meet the limitations in question. Applicant respectfully disagrees. The collective teachings of these references simply fail to teach or suggest the claimed arrangements. For example, the Examiner argues that the claim limitations relating to multiple bitstreams being transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system are shown in the teachings in column 1, lines 15-31, of Schneidewend. The relied-upon portion of Schneidewend provides as follows, with emphasis supplied:

In digital video and audio broadcast applications, packetized program information transmitted to a video decoder, such as a High Definition Television (HDTV) receiver,

contains broadcast channels, e.g. Fox 5TM, Channel 13TM, from multiple broadcasters. The packetized program information of an individual broadcaster may contain the data content of several program sub-channels occupying the frequency spectrum previously occupied by a single analog broadcast channel. The sub-channels may comprise, for example, digital services including a main program channel, a financial service channel offering stock quotes, a sports news service channel and a shopping and interactive channel, all being conveyed within the 6 MHz bandwidth previously allocated to a single analog NTSC compatible broadcast channel.

This portion of Schneidewend simply indicates that packetized program information may contain the “data content of several program sub-channels.” It does not state, as in the claim language at issues, that multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal. The packetized program information could, for instance, be transmitted as a single bitstream in a single digital sideband, without the use of multiple subbands of one or more digital sidebands as claimed.

As Applicant described above, an illustrative example of the claimed arrangement is shown in FIG. 1 of the drawings. In this example, the digital sidebands of the host FM signal 100 comprise a lower digital sideband 102 and an upper digital sideband 104. Each of the digital sidebands 102, 104 includes a pair of subbands, denoted A and B. The multiple bitstreams in this embodiment comprise a total of four different bitstreams, namely, bitstreams 105-1 and 105-2 associated with respective subbands B and A of the lower sideband 102, and bitstreams 105-3 and 105-4 associated with respective subbands B and A of the upper sideband 104. Thus, the claimed subbands are particular subportions of a given digital sideband of a carrier signal. There is no such arrangement shown in the Schneidewend reference, or in the combined teachings of Sakamoto and Schneidewend.

The proposed combination of Sakamoto and Schneidewend thus fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations of independent claim 1.

The collective teachings of the cited references are similarly deficient with regard to the other independent claims.

With regard to motivation, the proposed combination of Sakamoto and Schneidewend appears to be based on a piecemeal reconstruction of the claimed invention, with the benefit of hindsight, rather than on any objective evidence in the references themselves.

More specifically, the Examiner in the Office Action states as follows regarding motivation to combine Sakamoto and Schneidewend, with emphasis supplied:

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious . . . to modify the teachings of Sakamoto such that multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a system that is able to broadcast multiple channels while using the same bandwidth of one analog channel (Schneidewend : col. 1, lines 29-31).

The Federal Circuit has stated that when patentability turns on the question of obviousness, the obviousness determination “must be based on objective evidence of record” and that “this precedent has been reinforced in myriad decisions, and cannot be dispensed with.” *In re Sang-Su Lee*, 277 F.3d 1338, 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002). Moreover, the Federal Circuit has stated that “conclusory statements” by an examiner fail to adequately address the factual question of motivation, which is material to patentability and cannot be resolved “on subjective belief and unknown authority.” *Id.* at 1343-1344. There has been no showing in the present §103(a) rejection of objective evidence of record that would motivate one skilled in the art to combine Sakamoto and Schneidewend, or to modify their teachings to reach the particular limitations in question. The statement of obviousness given by the Examiner in the final Office Action is believed to be the type of subjective, conclusory statement that the Federal Circuit has indicated provides insufficient support for an obviousness rejection.

Further, the relied-upon portion of Schneidewend, namely, column 1, lines 29-31, relates to providing multiple digital channels occupying the bandwidth of a single analog channel, which is not a limitation of the claims at issue. The statement thus fails to address why one would be motivated to transmit multiple bitstreams in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier

signal, as claimed, rather than, for instance, transmitting multiple channels as a single packetized bitstream in a single digital sideband, without the use of multiple subbands.

Independent claims 1, 15, 29, 31, 33-35 and 37 are therefore believed to be allowable over the proposed combination of Sakamoto and Schneidewend.

Dependent claims 2, 3, 10-12, 16, 17, 24-26, 30 and 32 are believed allowable for at least the reasons identified above with regard to their respective independent claims.

B. Claims 33 and 34

With regard to independent claims 33 and 34, these claims further specify that information is delivered to a receiver using first and second bitstreams of a digital communication system, with the first bitstream being encrypted and the second bitstream being unencrypted, and with the first and second bitstreams being transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system. The Examiner relies on an arrangement such as that shown in FIG. 1 of Sakamoto. However, it is apparent from FIG. 1 of Sakamoto and the associated description at column 5, lines 51-65, that the low-quality layer data S2 and encrypted high-quality layer data S4 are combined via a combining unit 123 into a single set of data S5 for transmission. The data S2 and S4 therefore cannot be construed as first and second bitstreams that are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal. In fact, the relied-upon portions of Sakamoto, by teaching combination of the layer data S2 and S4 into a single set of data S5 for transmission directly teaches away from the claimed invention. The Schneidewend reference fails to supplement this fundamental deficiency of Sakamoto as applied to claims 33 and 34.

C. Claims 14 and 28

With regard to dependent claims 14 and 28, these claims specify that a storage device accessible to a receiver is adaptable for insertion into (i) a corresponding receptacle of the receiver, and (ii) a corresponding receptacle of an information processing device which establishes a network connection with a server for obtaining a decryption key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format. The Examiner relies on the teachings in column 6, lines 30-45, of Sakamoto. These relied-upon teachings mention examples of storage media, such as magnetic tape or floppy disks, but fail to meet the particular limitations (i) and (ii) of claims 14 and 28. What is

called for in these claims is a single storage device which meets both limitations (i) and (ii), and there is no such storage device disclosed in the relied-upon portions of the cited references. The collective teachings of Sakamoto and Schneidewend thus fail to disclose or suggest each and every limitation of the claims at issue.

2. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 4, 7, 8, 13, 18, 21, 22, 27, 36 and 38

A. Claims 4, 7, 13, 18, 21 and 27

Applicant respectfully submits that the Saito reference fails to supplement the above-described fundamental deficiency of the proposed combination of Sakamoto and Schneidewend as applied to the independent claims.

Dependent claims 4, 7, 13, 18, 21 and 27 are therefore believed allowable for at least the reasons identified above with regard to their respective independent claims.

B. Claims 8 and 22

With regard to claims 8 and 22, these claims specify that audio information delivered to a receiver comprises a particular music selection. The Examiner relies on column 8, lines 12-15, and column 22, lines 25-30, of Saito. However, the relied-upon portion of Saito states that the video-on-demand system and pay-per-view system “can be also applicable to transmission of high-quality audio data and moving picture data performed in computer communication network system using low-speed public telephone line or high-speed integrated services digital network (ISDN) or in internet system connecting a plurality of computer communication network.” There is no teaching or suggestion regarding delivery of a particular music selection in the manner required by the claim. The proposed combination of Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Saito therefore fails to meet the particular claim limitations at issue.

C. Claims 36 and 38

With regard to claims 36 and 38, these claims call for a web site from which a decryption key is downloaded to an information processing device. The Examiner relies on column 1, lines 35-50, of Saito, but there is no mention in the relied-upon portion regarding the downloading of a decryption key from a web site. The Examiner further relies on column 16, lines 50-65, of Saito,

but again, there is no mention therein of the downloading of a decryption key from a web site. Although this latter portion mentions a web server, it does not meet the decryption key downloading feature of these claims. The proposed combination of Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Saito therefore fails to meet the particular claim limitations at issue.

3. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 5, 6, 9, 19, 20 and 23

A. Claims 5, 6, 19 and 20

With regard to claims 5 and 19, these claims specify the multiple bitstreams correspond to subbands of at least first and second digital sidebands of a host carrier signal in IBOC digital audio broadcasting system. The Examiner argues that the proposed combination of Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Kumar would be obvious “in order to take advantage of the upper and lower sidebands.” See the Office Action at page 12. However, this is believed to be a conclusory statement of obviousness, in that it recites the claim limitations at issue as allegedly providing the motivation for the proposed combination. Moreover, there is no suggestion in Kumar or the other references regarding the assignment of bitstreams to subbands of first and second digital sidebands as claimed. The proposed combination of Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Kumar therefore fails to meet the particular claim limitations at issue.

Claims 6 and 20 are believed allowable by virtue of their dependence from claims 5 and 19, respectively.

B. Claims 9 and 23

The Examiner has rejected claims 9 and 23 under §103(a) over Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Kumar, but fails to indicate with any particularity the teachings of these references which are alleged to meet the claim limitations. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 9 and 23 over Sakamoto, Schneidewend and Kumar is traversed.


4. §103(a) Rejection of Claims 9 and 23

With regard to claims 9 and 23, these claims specify that the first quality level of the audio information corresponds to an FM-quality level, and a second quality level of the audio information corresponds to a CD-quality level. The Examiner argues that the motivation to combine or modify

Sakamoto, Schneidewend, Saito and Kumar to reach the limitations of claims 9 and 13 is to provide an audio broadcast system that provides FM quality audio while also providing CD quality audio for privileged users. See the Office Action at page 13. Again, this is a conclusory statement of obviousness, in that the proffered motivation is nothing more than a recitation of the claim language itself. The proposed combination of Sakamoto, Schneidewend, Saito and Kumar therefore fails to meet the particular claim limitations at issue.

In view of the above, Applicant believes that claims 1-38 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests the withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joseph B. Ryan". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Joseph" and last name "Ryan" clearly legible.

Date: June 9, 2005

Joseph B. Ryan
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 37,922
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-7517

CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method of delivering information, the method comprising the step of:

delivering at least a portion of the information to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein access to the information is provided at the first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format.

3. The method of claim 1 further including the step of providing a key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level.

4. The method of claim 3 wherein the key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format is supplied over a network connection established with a server.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the multiple bitstreams correspond to subbands of at least first and second digital sidebands of a host carrier signal in an in-band on-channel (IBOC) digital audio broadcasting system.

6. The method of claim 5 wherein the host carrier signal is an analog FM host signal.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein the information delivered to the receiver comprises audio information.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein the audio information comprises a particular music selection.

9. The method of claim 7 wherein the first quality level of the audio information corresponds to an FM-quality level, and a second quality level of the audio information corresponds to a CD-quality level.

10. The method of claim 1 wherein at least a subset of the multiple bitstreams are unencrypted, and the information at the first quality level is generated using only the unencrypted bitstreams.

11. The method of claim 1 further including the step of storing the information in the at least partially-encrypted format in a storage device accessible to the receiver.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein the storage device comprises at least one of a disk, a memory card and a cartridge.

13. The method of claim 11 wherein the storage device is associated with an information processing device which establishes a network connection with a server.

14. The method of claim 11 wherein the storage device is adaptable for insertion into (i) a corresponding receptacle of the receiver, and (ii) a corresponding receptacle of an information processing device which establishes a network connection with a server for obtaining a decryption key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format.

15. An apparatus for delivering information, comprising:

a transmitter operative to transmit at least a portion of the information to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

16. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein access to the information is provided at the first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format.

17. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein a key is provided for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level.

18. The apparatus of claim 17 wherein the key for decrypting the at least partially-encrypted format is supplied over a network connection established with a server.

19. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein the multiple bitstreams correspond to subbands of at least first and second digital sidebands of a host carrier signal in an in-band on-channel (IBOC) digital audio broadcasting system.

20. The apparatus of claim 19 wherein the host carrier signal is an analog FM host signal.

21. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein the information delivered to the receiver comprises audio information.

22. The apparatus of claim 21 wherein the audio information comprises a particular music selection.

23. The apparatus of claim 21 wherein the first quality level of the audio information corresponds to an FM-quality level, and a second quality level of the audio information corresponds to a CD-quality level.

24. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein at least a subset of the multiple bitstreams are unencrypted, and the information at the first quality level is generated using only the unencrypted bitstreams.

25. The apparatus of claim 15 wherein the information in the at least partially-encrypted format is stored in a storage device accessible to the receiver.

26. The apparatus of claim 25 wherein the storage device comprises at least one of a disk, a memory card and a cartridge.

27. The apparatus of claim 25 wherein the storage device is associated with an information processing device which establishes a network connection with a server.

28. The apparatus of claim 25 wherein the storage device is adaptable for insertion into (i) a corresponding receptacle of the receiver, and (ii) a corresponding receptacle of an information processing device which establishes a network connection with a server for obtaining a decryption key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format.

29. A method of receiving information, the method comprising the steps of:
receiving at least a portion of the information from a transmitter in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

30. The method of claim 29 wherein access to the information is provided at the first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, the method further including the step of providing a key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level.

31. An apparatus for receiving information, comprising:

a receiver operative to receive at least a portion of the information from a transmitter in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the at least partially-encrypted format, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

32. The apparatus of claim 31 wherein access to the information is provided at the first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, and

further wherein a key is provided for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level.

33. A method of delivering information, the method comprising the step of:

delivering at least a portion of the information to a receiver, using at least first and second bitstreams of a digital communication system, wherein the first bitstream is encrypted and the second bitstream is unencrypted, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the first and second bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the first bitstream, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

34. An apparatus for delivering information, comprising:

a transmitter operative to transmit at least a portion of the information to a receiver, using at least first and second bitstreams of a digital communication system, wherein the first bitstream is encrypted and the second bitstream is unencrypted, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level;

wherein the first and second bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system; and

wherein upon decryption of the first bitstream, access to the information is provided at another quality level.

35. A method of delivering information, the method comprising the steps of:

delivering at least a portion of the information to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format; and

providing via an electronic commerce system a key for decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system.

36. The method of claim 35 wherein the electronic commerce system comprises a web site from which the key is downloaded to an information processing device.

37. An apparatus for delivering information, comprising:

a transmitter operative to transmit at least a portion of the information to a receiver in an at least partially-encrypted format using multiple bitstreams of a digital communication system, such that access to the information is provided at a first quality level without decrypting the information in the at least partially-encrypted format, and wherein a key for decrypting the

information in the at least partially-encrypted format is provided via an electronic commerce system, such that when the information is decrypted, access to the information is provided at a second quality level higher than the first quality level;

wherein the multiple bitstreams are transmitted in subbands of one or more digital sidebands of a carrier signal in the system.

38. The apparatus of claim 37 wherein the electronic commerce system comprises a web site from which the key is downloaded to an information processing device.

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None